Do not delay a ban on the use and carriage of HFO as fuel by Arctic shipping

**Environmental impacts of a HFO spill**

**RISKS**

Based on 2012 shipping levels, an incident resulting in an oil spill in the Arctic could be expected every 1.6 years. (a)

**BAN HFO IN THE ARCTIC**

The consequences of HFO spills could be prolonged because of its persistent nature, and the threat to marine life and economically sensitive resources can last longer in the event of a HFO spill*. (c)

**THREAT**

**SOLUTION**

An investigation published by the Arctic Council concluded that using distillates (MGO) instead of heavy fuel oil (HFO) fuel would achieve significant spill risk reduction. (c)

---

**Economic impacts of a HFO ban**

**Clean-up costs**

Estimated clean-up costs for a 3,000-gallon HFO spill at Shuyak Island, Alaska in 2018 ........... $9 mn (d)

In 2007, a financial settlement with a total payout of $112 mn was reached following the MV Selendang Ayu disaster in the Aleutian Islands which covered formalized response, criminal penalties, clean-up costs, wreck removal and lost taxes and beach monitoring. ........ $112 mn (e)

**Arctic fleet’s fuel expenditure (g)**

Switching from HFO to MGO could cost approximately US $59 million for the entire Red Dog fleet, representing approximately 0.53% of the annual revenue from Red Dog (d)

**Operational costs**

Increased cost of operations using MGO in Alaska (d) .................. $0.04-0.06 per gallon of fuel delivered

**Costs for a 2020 sulphur cap compliant ship (g)**

Ships operating on low sulphur HFO .................. +2%

Ships using HFO in combination with a scrubber .................. +4-15%

**Import and export price of goods (g,h)**

Average import and export price of goods in Greenland .................. +0.2-0.5%

Cost of food shipped to Iqaluit in North Canada .................. +0.2%

Cost for dry cargo shipped through Arctic Sealift operations in Canada .................. +1%

Cost of crude oil shipped from Varandey terminal in Russia .................. up to 0.2%

**CASE STUDY**

There is no correlation between fuel costs and food prices: in Nunavut fuel oil prices fell nearly 65% in 2014-17, but the average cost of select shelf-stable food items in communities increased by about 15%. (i)
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The potential fuel and voyage cost effects of an Arctic HFO ban on bulk carriers serving Baffinland mines

Mary River Mine is a large, open pit iron ore mine in the Canadian Arctic. A HFO ban will affect fuel costs and voyage costs for ships that service the mine. These impacts are extremely sensitive to relative fuel prices and depend on how ships comply with the IMO 2020 sulphur cap.

### HFO ban costs for ships currently operating on:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HFO + scrubbers</th>
<th>Very low sulphur fuel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel costs</td>
<td>+33% - 54%</td>
<td>+4-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total voyage costs</td>
<td>+17% - 23%</td>
<td>+2-3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A HFO spill would impact Alaskan fisheries for a period of time that is undeterminable and could take years to recover. Subsistence is linked to the health of communities through nutrition and through traditional cultural practices. (d)

"We are constantly reminded how taking action on greenhouse gas emissions will negatively impact our economy ... which is a very outdated card to play at this stage with our climate crisis. I would say do not play this card when it comes to banning HFO which has potential to create extreme irreparable damage to our Arctic oceans ... and I repeat the oceans are the life force and source of life for us as Inuit of the Arctic."

Sheila Watt-Cloutier, Environmental and Human Rights Advocate

Social impacts of a spill

Do not delay a ban on the use and carriage of HFO as fuel by ships operating in Arctic waters
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